

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This Amendment is responsive to the Advisory Action mailed on March 25, 2008. The Advisory Action indicates that the proposed amendments in the Request for Reconsideration filed on February 12, 2008 will not be entered.

In the final Office Action mailed on December 12, 2007, the Examiner indicates on page 2 that claims 40-50 have been allowed, and that claims 52-64 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim (and would be allowable if rewritten in independent form). See paragraphs 2-3 of the final Office Action.

Applicants note that the rejection over Banin '453 indicates that claims 51-65 are rejected, and that this is inconsistent with the statement at paragraph 3, which indicates that claims 52-64 have allowable subject matter. Applicants presume that the Examiner intended to indicate that claims 52-64 contain allowable subject matter, and are not rejected, since this paragraph was newly added relative to the prior Office Action of June 21, 2007.

In an effort to accept the claims that are deemed allowable by the Examiner, in this Amendment, Applicants are canceling claim 51, amending claim 52 so that it is in independent form, and amending claim 65 so that it depends from allowable claim 52. Claims 1-39 are also canceled.

Claims 40-50 and 52-65 should therefore be in condition for allowance. The Examiner is sincerely and earnestly thanked for the indication of allowable subject matter.

Respectfully submitted,



Patrick R. Jewik
Reg. No. 40,456

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-3834
Tel: 415-576-0200
Fax: 415-576-0300
PRJ

61370880 v1